**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,** 

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Versus

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>; Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Lt. Col. Ramandeep Singh, (99061 69000) Assistant Director General, UIDAI, Ground Floor, Supreme Court Metro Station, Pragati Maidan, New Delhi – 110001

**PSIC** 

....Appellant

## Public Information Officer

O/o Commissioner of Police, Tehsil Road, Master Tara Singh Nagar, Jalandhar - 144001

## **First Appellate Authority**

O/o Commissioner of Police, Tehsil Road, Master Tara Singh Nagar, Jalandhar – 144001

....Respondents

## APPEAL CASES NO. 674, 675 & 676 OF 2022

**PRESENT**: Lt. Col. Ramandeep Singh, Appellant (On Telephone). Shri Nirmal Singh, D.S.P. along with ASI Rajesh Kumar, I.O. (94636 82970) & ASI Balwinder Singh (94631 80355) for the Respondents.

#### **ORDER**:

The RTI applications is dated 22.10.2021 vide which the Appellant has sought

information from the PIO O/o Commissioner of Police, Tehsil Road, Maser Tara Singh Nagar,

Jalandhar on the following points in his Three (3) RTI applications respectively: -

You are requested to furnish the following information:

- 1. Please provide information regarding further action taken against UID No 2147861, Application against FIR No.104 dated 12th September, 2021 after meeting of Lt Col Ramandeep Singh with ACP Rajpal Kaur on 9th October, 2021.
- Inclusions of evidences in the judicial file of investigation, submitted vide letters No. RD/Pers/Jalandhar Police/03 (Speed Post No.ED927941126IN IVR 6968927941126) dated 04.10.2021 and RD/Pers/Jalandhar-Police/04 (Speed Post No. ED 970722000IN IVR 696897-722---) dated 12.10.2021 in reference of FIR No.104 dated 12th September 2021 (PS Women Cell) Investigating Officer ASI Rajesh Kumar.
- 3. Proceedings and complete Noting Sheet copies of inquiry done by ACP Dilbag Singh, Commissioner's Office in complaint dated 7th May, 2021 filed by Mrs. Rashmi Mahajan against Lt Col Ramandeep Singh.

Cont...Pg2



#### APPEAL CASES NO. 674, 675 & 676 OF 2022

2. On not receiving information from the PIO and even after filing First Appeal with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 29.11.2021, the Appellant filed Second Appeal in the Commission on 03.02.2022 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act) and as such Notice of hearing was issued to the parties.

3. The case has been heard today. The Appellant is present on the Cisco Webex but due to some technical reason, he could not be heard and subsequently he is contacted on his Mobile.

4. The Respondent appears in person and reiterates his earlier version that requisite information has already been sent to the appellant through email dated 06.06.2022.

5. After deliberations on the RTI application of the appellant, it is revealed that sufficient information/reply has already been supplied to the appellant. The Bench is convinced that requisite information stands supplied to the appellant. The Bench does not feel need for further intervention in the matter. The instant Appeal Case is **disposed of and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

#### Chandigarh 21.06.2022

#### (Maninder Singh Patti) State Information Commissioner

Copy to intimation and necessary action: - (Regd. Post)

**Shri Jaskiranjit Singh, PPS,** Deputy Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar.

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,** 

#### SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



#### Smt. Shivani Jaswal, (9464647051)

Ex-Municipal Councilor, House No. 306, Phase -1 B, Shivalik Avenue Naya Nangal, Tehsil Nangal, Distt Ropar.

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o EO, Nagar Council, Nangal, Distt Ropar.

#### First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Director, Local Govt, Ludhiana.

#### Appeal Case No. 2029 of 2021

RTI application filed on	:	05-05-2020
PIO replied on	:	28-05-2020
First appeal filed on	:	27-07-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	_

Present: None

#### **ORDER (Fourth Hearing):**

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 13.04.2022.

#### Information Sought:

1. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨ ਨੂੰ ਜਨਵਰੀ 2015 ਤੋਂ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣ ਤੱਕ ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਨੰਗਲ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਦੀਆਂ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਗੱਡੀਆਂ, ਟਰੈਕਟਰਾਂ, ਫੋਗਿੰਗ ਮਸ਼ੀਨ, ਜੇ.ਸੀ.ਬੀ. ਮਸ਼ੀਨ, ਅਤੇ ਵਾਟਰ ਸਪਲਾਈ ਪੰਪਾਂ ਦੇ ਜਨਰੇਟਰ ਸੈੱਟਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਵਰਤੇ ਗਏ ਪੈਟਰੋਲ ਅਤੇ ਡੀਜਲ ਦੀਆਂ ਜੋ ਵੀ ਅਦਾਇਗੀਆਂ ਕੀਤੀਆਂ ਗਈਆਂ ਹਨ ਦੇ ਮੁਕੰਮਲ ਬਿੱਲਾਂ, ਅਦਾਇਗੀ ਲਈ ਕੀਤੀਆਂ ਗਈਆਂ ਰਿਪੋਰਟਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਅਦਾਇਗੀ ਨਾਲ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਹੋਰ ਸਾਰੇ ਕਾਗਜਾਤ ਜੋ ਵੀ ਅਦਾਇਗੀ ਸਮੇਂ ਲਗਾਏ ਗਏ ਹਨ ਦੀਆਂ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ।

2. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨ ਨੂੰ ਜਨਵਰੀ 2015 ਤੋਂ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣ ਤੱਕ ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਨੰਗਲ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਗੱਡੀਆਂ, ਟਰੈਕਟਰਾਂ, ਫੋਗਿੰਗ ਮਸ਼ੀਨ, ਜੇ.ਸੀ.ਬੀ ਮਸ਼ੀਨ, ਅਤੇ ਵਾਟਰ ਸਪਲਾਈ ਪੰਪਾਂ ਦੇ ਜਨਰੇਟਰ ਸਟਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਰਤੇ ਗਏ ਪੈਟਰੋਲ ਅਤੇ ਡੀਜਲ ਦੀਆਂ ਬਿੱਲਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਅਦਾਇਗੀ ਲਈ ਜੋ ਵੀ ਲਾਭ ਬੁੱਕਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਹੋਰ ਰਜਿਸਟਰ ਤਿਆਰ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਹਨ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆ ਜਾਣ

3. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨ ਨੂੰ ਜਨਵਰੀ 2015 ਤੋਂ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣ ਤੱਕ ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਨੰਗਲ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਜੋ ਪੈਟਰੋਲ-ਡੀਜਲ ਤੇਲ ਦੀ ਅਦਾਇਗੀ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ, ਉਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਦੇ ਪੱਤਰਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ ਕਿ ਕਿਸ ਗੱਡੀ, ਟਰੈਕਟਰ, ਜੋਗਿੰਗ ਮਸ਼ੀਨ, ਜੇ.ਸੀ.ਬੀ, ਜਨਰੇਟਰ ਵਿੱਚ ਕਿੰਨਾ ਤੇਲ ਮਹਿਨਾ/ਸਾਲ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਰਤਿਆ ਜਾ ਸਕਦਾ ਹੈ ਇਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸੂਦਾ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨ ਨੂੰ ਮੁਹਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈਆ ਜਾਣ।

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

#### **RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,**

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



#### Appeal Case No. 2029 of 2021

4. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨ ਨੂੰ ਜਨਵਰੀ 2015 ਤੋਂ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣ ਤੱਕ ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਨੰਗਲ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਗੱਡੀਆਂ ਵਿਚ ਪੈਟਰੋਲ ਅਤੇ ਡੀਜਲ ਵਰਤਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਹੈ ਉਹ ਗੱਡੀਆਂ ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਦੇ ਕਿਹੜੇ ਕਿਹੜੇ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ ਅਤੇ ਮੁਲਾਜਮ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਕਿੰਨੇ ਕਿੰਨੇ ਸਮੇਂ ਲਈ ਵਰਤੀਆਂ ਗਈਆਂ ਇਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ - ਪੜਾ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨ ਨੂੰ ਮੁਹਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈਆ ਜਾਣ।

[9:06 pm, 23/06/2022] Blissful Preeti : 5. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨ ਨੂੰ ਜਨਵਰੀ 2015 ਤੋਂ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣ ਤੱਕ ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਨੰਗਲ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਜਿਨ੍ਹਾ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਗੱਡੀਆਂ, ਟਰੈਕਟਰਾਂ, ਫੋਗਿੰਗ ਮਸ਼ੀਨ, ਜੇ.ਸੀ.ਬੀ ਅਤੇ ਜਨਰੇਟਰ ਮੈਟਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਤੇਲ ਵਰਤਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਦੀ ਜੋ ਵੀ ਰਿਪੇਅਰ ਨਾਲ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਅਦਾਇਗੀਆਂ ਕੀਤੀਆਂ ਗਈਆਂ ਦੀ ਡੀਟੇਲ ਸਮੇਤ ਬਿੱਲਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਹਿਪੋਰਟਾਂ ਆਦਿ ਦੀਆਂ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਮੁੱਹਈਆਂ ਕਰਵਾਈਆਂ ਜਾਣ।

6. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨ ਨੂੰ ਜਨਵਰੀ 2015 ਤੋਂ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣ ਤੱਕ ਨਗਰ ਕੋਂਸਲ ਨੰਗਲ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਵਾਟਰ ਸਪਲਾਈ ਟਿਊਬਵੈਲਾਂ ਤੇ ਜਿਸ ਸਮੇਂ ਲਾਈਟ ਜਾਣ ਤੇ ਜਨਰੇਟਰਾਂ ਵਿੱਚ ਤੇਲ ਵਰਤਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਕਿ ਉਸ ਸਮੇਂ ਦੀ ਬਿਜਲੀ ਜਾਣ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਬਿਜਲੀ ਬੋਰਡ ਤੋਂ ਕੋਈ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਜਾਂ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਗਈ ਹੋਵੇ ਜਾਂ ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਦੇ ਕਿਸੇ ਅਧਿਕਾਰੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਤਸਦੀਕ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੋਵੇ ਤਾਂ ਉਸ ਦੀਆਂ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਧਾ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਮੁੱਹਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈਆ ਜਾਣ।

- 2. Appellant, **Smt. Shivani Jaswal** is absent for second time without any intimation to the commission.
- 3. Viewing the absence of the appellant and non-implementation of the order of the commission. The modus operandi adopted by the Appellant is nothing but a classic example of systematic persecution as well as wasting precious time of the public authority as well as the Commission at the cost of the public exchequer.

It is pertinent to mention herein that the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has discussed the issue in great detail in the matter of Ashok Kumar Pandey v. The State of West Bengal [AIR 2003 SC 280, para 11], wherein J.Pasayat has held as under:

4. "... It is depressing to note that on account of such trumpery proceedings initiated before the Courts, innumerable days are wasted, which time otherwise could have been spent for the disposal of cases of the genuine litigants. Though we spare no efforts in fostering and developing the CIC/MPOTR/A/2018/637392 laudable concept of PIL and extending our long arm of sympathy to the poor, the ignorant, the oppresses and the needy whose fundamental rights are infringed and violated and whose grievances go unnoticed, unrepresented and unheard; yet we cannot avoid but expressing our opinion that while genuine litigants with legitimate grievances relating to civil matters involving properties worth hundreds of millions of rupees and criminal cases in which persons sentenced to death facing gallows under untold agony and persons sentenced to life imprisonment and kept in incarceration for long years, persons suffering from undue delay in service matters, Government or private, persons awaiting the disposal of case.....

...etc are all standing in a long serpentine queue for years with the fond hope of getting into the Courts and having their grievances redressed, the busybodies, meddlesome interlopers, wayfarers or officious interveners having absolutely no public interest except for personal gain or private profit either of themselves or as proxy of others or for any other extraneous motivation or for glare of publicity break

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,** 

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



#### Appeal Case No. 2029 of 2021

the queue muffing their faces by wearing the mask of public interest litigation and get into the Courts by filing vexatious and frivolous petitions and thus criminally waste the valuable time of the Courts, as a result of which the queue standing outside the doors of the Courts never moves, which piquant situation creates frustration in the minds of the genuine litigants and resultantly they lose faith in the administration of our judicial system..."

5. With above observations the commission presumes that the appellant does not want pursue this case anymore therefore, this case is disposed of and closed.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.06.2022

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,** 

#### SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>; Helpline No. 0172-2864100

# Punjab State Information Con

#### Smt. Shivani Jaswal, (9464647051)

Ex-Municipal Councilor, House No. 306, Phase-1 B, Shivalik Avenue Naya Nangal, Tehsil Nangal, Distt Ropar.

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o EO, Nagar Council, Nangal, Distt Ropar.

#### First Appellate Authority

O/o Deputy Director, Local Govt, Ludhiana.

#### Appeal Case No. 2030 of 2021

RTI application filed on	:	05-05-2020
PIO replied on	:	28-05-2020
First appeal filed on	:	27-07-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	_

#### Present: None ORDER (Fourth Hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 13.04.2022.

## Information Sought:

- 1. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪਾਰਕਨ ਨੂੰ ਮਾਰਚ 2016 ਤੋਂ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣ ਤੱਕ ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਨੰਗਲ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਕਡ-19 ਦੀ ਮਾਹਾਮਾਰੀ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਨੰਗਲ ਦੀਆਂ ਸਾਰੀਆਂ ਸਰਕਾਰੀ ਗੱਡੀਆਂ, ਫੈਗਿੰਗ ਮਸ਼ੀਨ, ਜੇ.ਸੀ.ਬੀ. ਵਾਟਰ ਸਪਲਾਈ ਪੰਪਾਂ ਦੇ ਜਨਰੇਟਰ ਅਤੇ ਹੋਰ ਜਿਸ ਜਿਸ ਜਗ੍ਹਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਵਰਤੇ ਗਏ ਪੈਟਰੋਲ ਅਤੇ ਡੀਜਲ ਦੀਆਂ ਜੋ ਵੀ ਅਦਾਇਗੀਆਂ ਕੀਤੀਆਂ ਗਈਆਂ ਹਨ ਦੇ ਮੁਕੰਮਲ ਬਿੱਲਾਂ, ਅਦਾਇਗੀਆ ਲਈ ਕੀਤੀਆਂ ਗਈਆਂ ਰਿਪੋਰਟਾਂ ਅਤੇ ਅਦਾਇਗੀ ਨਾਲ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਹੋਰ ਸਾਰੇ ਕਾਗਜਾਤ ਜੋ ਵੀ ਅਦਾਇਗੀ ਸਮੇਂ ਲਗਾਏ ਗਏ ਹਨ ਦੀਆਂ ਤਸਦੀਕਸੂਦਾਂ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਜਾਣ।
- 2. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨ ਨੂੰ ਇਹ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਕਿ 01 ਮਾਰਚ 2020 ਤੋਂ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣ ਤੱਕ ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਨੰਗਲ ਵਲੋਂ ਕੋਵਿਡ-19 ਦੀ ਮਾਹਾਮਾਰੀ ਦੇ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਗਰੀਬ ਲੋਕਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਦੇਣ ਲਈ ਕਿੰਨੇ ਲੱਖਾਂ ਰੁਪਏ ਦੇ ਰਾਸ਼ਨ ਦੀ ਖਰੀਦ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਉਸ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਖਰੀਦੇ ਗਏ ਰਾਸ਼ਨ ਦੇ ਬਿਲਾਂ ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਕਾਪੀ ਮੁਹਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ।
- 3. ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨ ਨੂੰ ਇਹ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਕਿ 01 ਮਾਰਚ 2020 ਤੋਂ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣ ਤੱਕ ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਨੰਗਲ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਕੋਵਿਡ-19 ਦੀ ਮਾਹਾਮਾਰੀ ਦੇ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਨੰਗਲ ਸ਼ਹਿਰ ਵਿੱਚ ਕਿਹੜੇ-ਕਿਹੜੇ ਗਰੀਬ ਪਰਿਵਾਰਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਨਗਰ ਕੌਂਸਲ ਨੰਗਲ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਖੁਦ ਖਰੀਦ ਕੇ ਰਾਸ਼ਨ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਗਿਆ ਉਨ੍ਹਾ ਪਰਿਵਾਰਾ ਦੇ ਮੈਂਬਰਾਂ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ, ਪਿਤਾ/ਪਤੀ ਦਾ ਨਾਮ, ਅਤੇ ਉਸ ਦਾ ਪਤਾ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਨੂੰ ਮੁਹਾਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈਆ ਜਾਵੇ।

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

#### **RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,**

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



#### Appeal Case No. 2030 of 2021

- 4.ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨ ਨੂੰ ਇਹ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਕਿ 01 ਮਾਰਚ 2020 ਤੋਂ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣ ਤੱਕ ਨਗਰ ਕੋਂਸਲ ਨੰਗਲ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਕੋਵਿਤ-19 ਦੀ ਮਾਹਾਮਾਰੀ ਦੇ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਕਿੰਨੇ ਲੱਖਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਕਿਹੜੀਆਂ-ਕਿਹੜੀਆ ਦਵਾਈਆ, ਹਾਈਪੋ ਕਲੋਰਾਈਡ, ਮਾਸਕ, ਸੈਨਿਟਾਈਜਰ, ਦਸਤਾਨੇ, ਤੂੜੀ, ਕਿੱਟਸ ਜਾਂ ਕੋਈ ਹੋਰ ਸਮਾਨ ਕਿਹੜੀ ਕਿਹੜੀ ਫਰਮ ਤੋਂ ਖਰਿਦੀਆਂ ਗਈਆ ਉਨ੍ਹਾ ਖਰੀਦ ਕੀਤੀਆਂ ਦਵਾਈਆ, ਹਾਈਪੋਲੋਰਾਈਡ, ਮਾਸਕ, ਸੈਨਿਟਾਈਜਰ, ਦਸਤਾਨੇ, ਤੂੜੀ, ਕਿੱਟਸ ਦੇ ਬਿੱਲਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਤਸਦੀ ਕਸੂਦਾ ਛਾਪੀਆਂ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਨੂੰ ਮੁੱਹਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈ ਜਾਵੇ।
- 5.ਇਹ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥਨ ਨੂੰ ਇਹ ਜਾਣਕਾਰੀ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਕਿ 01 ਮਾਰਚ 2020 ਤੋਂ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇਣ ਤੱਕ ਨਗਰ ਕੋਂਸਲ ਨੰਗਲ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਕੋਵਿਲ-19 ਦੀ ਮਾਹਾਮਾਰੀ ਦੇ ਦੌਰਾਨ ਕਿੰਨੇ ਲੱਖਾਂ ਦੀਆਂ ਅਦਾਇਗੀਆ ਕਿਥੋਂ ਕਿਥੇ, ਕਿਹਨੂੰ-ਕਿਹਨੂੰ ਤੇ ਕਿਹੜੀਆਂ ਫਰਮਾਂ ਨੂੰ ਕੀਤੀਆਂ ਗਈਆਂ ਉਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਅਦਾਇਗੀਆਂ ਦੀ ਤਸਦੀਕਸ਼ੁਦਾ ਵੇਰਵਾ ਲਿਖਤੀ ਰੂਪ ਵਿੱਚ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਨੂੰ ਮੁਹਈਆ ਕਰਵਾਈਆ ਜਾਵੇ।

4. Appellant, **Smt. Shivani Jaswal** is absent for second time without any intimation to the commission.

5. Viewing the absence of the appellant and non-implementation of the order of the commission. The modus operandi adopted by the Appellant is nothing but a classic example of systematic persecution as well as wasting precious time of the public authority as well as the Commission at the cost of the public exchequer.

It is pertinent to mention herein that the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has discussed the issue in great detail in the matter of Ashok Kumar Pandey v. The State of West Bengal [AIR 2003 SC 280, para 11], wherein J.Pasayat has held as under:

"... It is depressing to note that on account of such trumpery proceedings initiated before the Courts, innumerable days are wasted, which time otherwise could have been spent for the disposal of cases of the genuine litigants. Though we spare no efforts in fostering and developing the CIC/MPOTR/A/2018/637392 laudable concept of PIL and extending our long arm of sympathy to the poor, the ignorant, the oppresses and the needy whose fundamental rights are infringed and violated and whose grievances go unnoticed, unrepresented and unheard; yet we cannot avoid but expressing our opinion that while genuine litigants with legitimate grievances relating to civil matters involving properties worth hundreds of millions of rupees and criminal cases in which persons sentenced to death facing gallows under untold agony and persons sentenced to life imprisonment and kept in incarceration for long years, persons awaiting the disposal of case......

...etc are all standing in a long serpentine queue for years with the fond hope of getting into the Courts and having their grievances redressed, the busybodies, meddlesome interlopers, wayfarers or officious interveners having absolutely no public interest except for personal gain or private profit either of themselves or as proxy of others or for any other extraneous motivation or for glare of publicity break

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,** 

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



#### Appeal Case No. 2030 of 2021

the queue muffing their faces by wearing the mask of public interest litigation and get into the Courts by filing vexatious and frivolous petitions and thus criminally waste the valuable time of the Courts, as a result of which the queue standing outside the doors of the Courts never moves, which piquant situation creates frustration in the minds of the genuine litigants and resultantly they lose faith in the administration of our judicial system..."

6. With above observations the commission presumes that the appellant does not want pursue this case anymore therefore, this case is disposed of and closed.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.06.2022

#### **RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,**

#### SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



#### Sh. Ajay Nand/ Ajay Kumar Mehta, (9814646309)

S/o Late Sh. Nand Kishore, # C 118 East Mohan Nagar, Chamrang Road, Amritsar.

Versus

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

Public Information Officer O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar.

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar.

#### Appeal Case No. 2600, 2993 & 2994 of 2020

Present: None

#### **ORDER (Seventh Hearing):**

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 13.04.2022.

#### **Observation and Decision:**

- 2. In view of the fact that the appellant neither bothered to attend any hearing and most of the time he seeks adjournment. Such behavior of the appellant, makes the Commission to think twice regarding the intent of the Appellant as to whether he genuinely wants information.
- 3. It is depressing to note that on account of such worthless proceedings initiated before the Courts, innumerable days are wasted, which time otherwise could have been spent for the disposal of cases of the genuine litigants. Though we spare no efforts in fostering and developing the laudable concept of PIL and extending our long arm of sympathy to the poor, the ignorant, the oppresses and the needy whose fundamental rights are infringed and violated and whose grievances go unnoticed, unrepresented and unheard; yet we cannot avoid but expressing our opinion that while genuine applicant with legitimate grievances relating to civil matters involving properties worth hundreds of millions of rupees and criminal cases in which persons sentenced to death facing gallows under untold agony and persons sentenced to life imprisonment and kept in incarceration for long years, persons suffering from undue delay in service matters, Government or private, persons awaiting the disposal of case ...etc are all standing in a long serpentine queue for years with the fond hope of getting into the Courts and having their grievances redressed, the busybodies, meddlesome interlopers, wayfarers



#### Appeal Case No. 2600, 2993 & 2994 of 2020

or officious interveners having absolutely no public interest except for personal gain or private profit either of themselves or as proxy of others or for any other extraneous motivation or for glare of publicity break the queue muffing their faces by wearing the mask of public interest litigation and get into the Courts by filing vexatious and frivolous petitions and thus **waste the valuable time of the Courts**, as a result of which the queue standing outside the doors of the Courts never moves, which piquant situation creates frustration in the minds of the genuine litigants and resultantly they lose faith in the administration of our judicial system.

With above observations this instant appeal case is disposed of and closed.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.06.2022

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,** 

#### SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Advocate Sunil, (95698 06826)

S/o Shri Krishan Lal, Flat No 244, D-2, Penta Homes, VIP Road, Zirakpur – 140603

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer** O/o PWD (B & R), Head Office, Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority** O/o PWD (B&R), Head Office, Patiala.

....Respondents

#### APPEAL CASE NO. 2840 OF 2021

**PRESENT**: Advocate Sunil, Appellant. Shri Baldev Raj, XEN – cum – PIO (98159 10993)

#### **ORDER**:

The RTI application is dated 21.12.2020 vide which the Appellant has sought

information from the PIO O/o PWD (B &R ), Head Office, Patiala on the following points in his

RTI application: -

Particulars regarding Information Sought: Period for which information: 01-04-2015 to 31-03-2020

- *i.* Duly Attested Photocopy of annual property returns filled by Mr. Jasvinder Singh, Executive Engineer, Provincial Division, PWD B&R Br., Ferozepur.
- Duly Attested Photocopy of documents seeking permission from department for property sale/purchase of immovable property filled in annual property returns by Mr. Jasvinder Singh, Executive Engineer, Provincial Division, PWD B&R Br., Ferozepur.

2. On not receiving information from the PIO and even after filing First Appeal with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 01.02.2021, the Appellant filed Second Appeal in the Commission on 21.06.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act) and as such Notice of hearing was issued to the parties. Cont...Pg2



#### APPEAL CASE NO. 2840 OF 2021

3. The case has been heard today. The PIO appears in person and brought along the information for the perusal of the Commission and the appellant and the same is handed over to the appellant, during the hearing. After going through the information, the appellant states that two points are missing in the information.

4. The PIO states that he is unable to trace the record and assures the Bench that the same will be made available to him if found in the record. The PIO further states that he has also paid Rs. 10000/- as compensation to the appellant which is acknowledged by the appellant.

5. In view of the above, the PIO is directed to provide the information on remaining two points. In case no other information is available in the official records, then he is further directed to file an <u>AFFIDAVIT</u> in this regard and the original Affidavit be sent to the appellant, with a copy to the Commission for record.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the instant Appeal Case is disposed of and closed.Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 21.06.2022

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,** 

#### SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



#### Sh. Jatinder Kumar, (9872324111)

C/o Sachkhand Mishthan Bhandar, Nehru Gate, Nawanshahr.

Versus

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

#### **Public Information Officer** O/o E.O. Nagar Council,

Nawanshahr.

#### **First Appellate Authority**

O/o Regional Deputy Director, Local Govt. Jalandhar.

#### Appeal Case No. 3047, 3053, 3241, 3242 & 3243 of 2021

RTI application filed on	:	22-03-2021
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	27-04-2021
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

#### Present: Appellant: Sh. Jatinder Kumar

Respondent: Sh. Rajeev Sareen (EO), 9815066801

#### **ORDER (Fourth Hearing):**

- 1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 25.04.2022.
- 2. Both the parties are present and were heard. Respondent, Sh. Rajeev Sareen stated that he has brought all the relevant record pertaining to the information sought by the applicant. The commission directed the applicant to inspect all the records identify the required one.

After inspection of few records the appellant stated that the inspection of all the records could not be done for non production of record/documents and requested for the another date for inspection

3. Accordingly, with the mutual understanding the next date of inspection has been fixed as 21.06.2022 in the office of the E.O, Nagar Council, Nawanshr. The PIO is directed to make available the complete record for inspection and provide the copies of documents so identified by the appellant duly attested and a compliance report be sent to the Commission. Failing which the Commission would initiate penal action under Section 20(1) of the RTI Act, 2005.

In case the partial information is not available in the office record then an affidavit may be filled in this regard to the appellant with a copy to the Commission therein mentioning the reasons for its non-availability.


The case is disposed of with above directions.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.06.2022

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,** 

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



...Appellant

PSIC

Versus

**Public Information Officer**, O/o Commissioner (Civil Wing), Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Commissioner, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Admin Complex, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar – 144001

...Respondents

## APPEAL CASE NO. 3763 OF 2021

**PRESENT**: Advocate Raja Tripat Partap Singh Cheema, Appellant (On Telephone). Shri Rampal, XEN None for the Respondents. (On Telephone)

## ORDER:

The RTI application is dated 24.05.2021 vide which the Appellant has sought

information from the PIO O/o Commissioner (Civil Wing), Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar in

his RTI application.

2. On not receiving information from the PIO and even after filing First Appeal with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 29.06.2021, the Appellant filed Second Appeal in the Commission on 25.08.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act) and as such Notice of hearing was issued to the parties.

3. The case has been heard today. The Respondent is present on the Cisco Webex but due to some technical reasons, he could not be heard and subsequently he is contacted on his mobile and he states that point-wise information sought by the Appellant has been sent to him and copy of the same has emailed in the Commission as under: -

Cont...Pg2

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,** 

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



#### APPEAL CASE NO. 3763 OF 2021 O/o Jalandhar Smart City Limited APPLICATION FOR INFORMATION UNDER SECTION 6(1) 1.Full Name Of Applicant Remarks . Advocate Raja Tripat Partap Singh Cheema 2.Father's /Spouse Name PRAB DAYAL SINGH CHEEMA 3.Permanent Address SCO-34, Urban Estate, Phase-2, Market Jalandhar, Punjab-144022 The said work has been awarded to A)subject Matter of Regarding Work/Development M/S Tarvinder Pal singh Cont. Information **Project Carried Out Under** Jalandhar Smart City Project In **Development of Green Area Park** No.3 Located in Urban Estate Phase-2, Jalandhar 144022 The Following information is **B) Information Required** required as Follows: 1. Attached is the site plan for 1. Copy of Detailed Site Plan the ref. for the proposed project being carried in Park No.3. 2. The said work for the 2. Information Regarding the tender value of Rs. 67.19 tender granted to the Lacs has been awarded to agency for carrying out M/S Tarvinder Pal singh the work with cost of Cont. for the awarded tender. amount of Rs. 61.72 Lacs. 3. Information of 3. The expenditure of the expenditure of the construction/ development construction/ work is Rs. 53.86 Lacs development work being carried out with details. SCO-34, Urban Estate, Phase-2 B)Detailed Address to Market Jalandhar, Punjab-144022 which Information will be sent

4.

The copy of the same taken on record.

The Appellant is present on the Cisco Webex but due to some technical reason,

he could not be heard and subsequently he is contacted on his Mobile.

5. After perusal of the case file, it is observed that the Respondent has given reply to

the Appellant in response to RTI application, with which he agrees. No further action is called

for in the matter. The Appeal Case is **disposed of and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the

parties.

Chandigarh 21.06.2022

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,** 

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Advocate Raja Tripat Partap Singh Cheema, (78373 00052) S/o Sh. Prabh Dayal Singh Cheema, S.C.O. No. 34, Urban Estate Phase-2 Market, Jalandhar – 144022

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner (Civil Wing), Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

Public Information Officer, O/o Jalandhar Development Authority, S.C.O. 41, PUDA complex Opp. DAC Complex, Ladowali Road, Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority O/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar 1st Floor, Dr. B.R. Ambedkar Admin Complex, – 144001

...Respondents

#### APPEAL CASE NO. 3764 OF 2021

**PRESENT:** Appellant: Advocate Raja Tripat Partap Singh Cheema, Respondents. : None

#### **ORDER (Third Hearing):**

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 16.03.2022.

**INFORMATION SOUGHT**: The applicant has sought information REGARDING CONDITION OF OHSR WATER TANK LOCATED IN PARK NO.3 URBAN ESTATE PHASE-2, JALANDHAR, 144022

1. Information regarding the construction of the water tank in the above said location

2. Information regarding the age/years of the OHSR tank since construction

3. whether the tank is operational or not

4. whether any repair work has been carried for the tank and if any, then the amount incurred for repair carried out by any agency

5. whether any inspection report has been carried by the engineer appointed in the said area regarding the condition of OHSR Tank and details for the inspection report be provided

6. when was the condition of the OHSR tank was inspected last.

7.whether the OHSR tank is in fit/safe condition or not.

### PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN, SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



#### APPEAL CASE NO. 3764 OF 2021

2. Written Submission from respondent: A correspondence dated 16.06.2022 vide 12976 is received in the Commission from Jalandhar Development authority, the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:

"ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਤੇ ਹਵਾਲੇ ਅਧੀਨ ਪੱਤਰ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਰਬਨ ਅਸਟੇਟ ਫੇਜ-2, ਜਲੰਧਰ ਵਿੱਚ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਓ.ਐਚ.ਐਸ.ਆਰ. ਵਾਟਰ ਟੈਂਕ ਦੀ ਉਸਾਰੀ ਪੁੱਡਾ| ਜੇਡੀਏ ਵਲੋਂ ਨਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ। ਇਸ ਅਰਬਨ ਅਸਟੇਟ ਵਿੱਚ ਮੌਜੂਦ ਜਨ ਸਿਹਤ ਨਾਲ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਸੇਵਾਵਾਂ ਮਹਿਕਮਾ ਜਨ ਸਿਹਤ (ਸਕ) ਵਲੋਂ ਪੁੱਡਾ/ਜੇਡੀਏ ਨੂੰ ਹੈਂਡ ਓਵਰ ਕੀਤੀਆਂ ਗਈਆਂ ਸਨ ਜੋ ਕਿ ਸਾਲ 2012 ਵਿੱਚ ਜੇ.ਡੀ.ਏ. ਵਲੋਂ ਨਗਰ ਨਿਗਮ ਜਲੰਧਰ ਨੂੰ ਸੌਂਪ ਦਿੱਤੀਆਂ ਗਈਆਂ ਸਨ। ਇਸ ਲਈ ਇਸ ਨਾਲ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵਿਖੇ ਉਪਲਬਧ ਨਹੀ ਹੈ।"

3. Keeping in view the facts of the case and going through the case file the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority i.e. O/o Commissioner, MC, Jalandhar along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference is also directed to call the complainant Sh. Raj Kumar within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The appeal is disposed of accordingly, with the above observations and directions. The case is disposed of accordingly, with the above observations.

Chandigarh Dated: 21.06.2022

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,** 

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>; Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Sh. Rajesh Kumar, (7009557499) S/o Sh. Ashok Kumar, R/o House No 1135 A, Mohalla Guga Mari, Roopnagar.

....Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,** O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Roopnagar.

## First Appellate Authority,

O/o Add. Deputy Commissioner, Urban Development, Roopnagar.

....Respondents

## APPEAL CASE NO. 3893 OF 2021

**PRESENT:** None on behalf of the Appellant. Shri Bhajan Chand, Executive Officer – cum – PIO (94170 00877)

#### **ORDER**:

The RTI application is dated 11.05.2021 vide which the Appellant has sought information from the PIO O/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Roopnagar in his RTI application.

2. On not receiving information from the PIO and even after filing First Appeal with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 08.07.2021, the Appellant filed Second Appeal in the Commission on 31.08.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act) and as such Notice of hearing was issued to the parties.

3. The case has been heard today. The PIO appears in person and states that the requisite information/reply has already been supplied to the appellant in the Appeal Case No. 3691/2020 which was disposed of by this Bench on 30.03.2021. He further files his written reply in this regard as under: -



#### **RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,**

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



-02-

#### <u>APPEAL CASE NO. 3893 OF 2021</u>

「ふか:: 3 かりえ なか れ:3893 miz 2021 万名の」 Buizz fan mail an wit mai (n3) 21-6-2022 ひとう かをえい こうか min わえう えん いろう しん しょうかい えん しょうで しょうしょう こうか かい わう こう うう しょういん かう かう ひん しょうかい かんり 4/21 32/1 1021 nozhu 20310 ( 1 22) 21 4100) 23' 2143 2/2/ 212/ fen mar 3 ma 11 mm fezi 3 mar fanz ju faz 5) 35 2 main man 11 Nomi à 520 h= 1348 fris 19-4-200 פום לביר יביושי היש צורה צ׳ לבצה יד ליציבה יותופרור יהבור לניה השאי ב לגא יוש הקד הוסה לושה לא אין שובות באי 29.3 31 Pms 21-6-22

The copy of the same retained in the case file.

4. Since the same information has already been provided by the aforesaid PIO in Appeal Case No. 3691 of 20212 and I have ascertained that information in both the RTI applications are same. The Bench observes that no point in continuing with the present case and hence, the Appeal Case is **disposed of and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

# Chandigarh 21.06.2022

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,** 

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



Smt. Rana Bir Surpal Kaur, (9872091528) W/o Late Sh. Sarup Singh Dhillon, IAS, R/o House No. 1528, Sector-34-D, Chandigarh – 160022

....Appellant

Versus

#### **Public Information Officer,**

O/o Bassi Pathana Primary Cooperative Agricultural Development Bank Ltd, Bassi Pathana, Distt Fatehgarh Sahib.

#### First Appellate Authority,

O/o Regional Office, Punjab State Cooperative Agricultural Development Bank Ltd, Patiala.

....Respondents

#### APPEAL CASE NO. 4499 OF 2021

**PRESENT:** S. Gurkirpal Singh, Representative on behalf of the Appellant (98722 11338) Shri Harjinder Singh, D.M. for the Respondents (98726 90324)

#### ORDER:

1.

The RTI application is dated 02.08.2021 vide which the Appellant has sought

information from the PIO O/o Bassi Pathana Primary Cooperative Agricultural Development

Bank Ltd, Bassi Pathana, Distt Fatehgarh Sahib on following points in his RTI application:-

Loan A/c. of Sarup Singh Dhillon for Vermiculture, with the bank.

Sh. Sarup Singh Dhillon under "Capital Investment Subsidy Scheme For Commercial Production Units of Organic Inputs Under National Project on Organic Farming" for Verminatchery/vermiculture.

Since undersigned is wife of Sh. Sarup Singh Dhillon, and the applicant has to settle the liability. Hence Information cannot be denied to wife under Provision of Section 8.

Kindly submit following detail status of loan

- Indicating following detail
- a. Amount Sanctioned
- b. Detail of Repayment Particular
- c. Total Amount Paid
- d. Interest Charged @ Interest charged.
- e. Penalty/Sundry Charges
- f. Detail particulars of Subsidy
- g. % of Subsidy Sanctioned
- h. Number of Instalments Fixed
- i. Detail of Loan Instalments released
- *j.* Basis of Calculation of Instalment
- k. Basis of procedure of amount of total subsidy Adjustment.
- *l.* Total Amount of Subsidy allowed
- m. Total Principle Payment as per Loan
- n. Total Amount of Subsidy Allowed/Adjusted from Principal Amount

#### **RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,**

#### SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - <u>www.infocommpunjab.com</u>; Helpline No. 0172-2864100



#### APPEAL CASE NO. 4499 OF 2021

Please inform the subsidy as percentage of the project cost and amount of sanctioned subsidy by 3. Nabard, also amount and number of instalments 4. Please inform the dates and amount of loan instalments released by the bank. Please inform the procedure of calculating the instalments for payback according to the scheme 5. and adjustment of subsidy in the beneficiary account. Please inform the scheduled date and amount of the first instalment to repaid to the bank as per 6. the scheme. 7. Please provide the copy of letter written by the bank to its headquarters for clarification regarding the scheme and this case, and also the copy of the response given by the headquarter. Please explain the calculation of interest and its ledger entry of Rs. 27,862/- on 31/3/2010. 8. Another schedule provided by your bank shows an interest instalment of Rs. 23,500/- due in Oct, 9 2009. Please intimate how this interest rate figure was arrived. Under which provision of the scheme was the subsidy once adjusted in the account was reversed 10. and who is the nodal officer/Competent authority to instruct such a revers When in scheme it has been clearly stated that "No interest should be charged on the subsidy by 11. the bank. For the purpose of charging interest on the loan component, the subsidy amount should be excluded." Please explain ground /under which provision this was not considered while calculating the interest and instalments by the bank 12. Provide the copy of guidelines followed by the bank for calculating interest in the case of accounts that become NPA. 13. What is the status of our letters to the bank dated 07/01/2021 and 19/3/2021 requesting the bank to settle the case as per the scheme under which they were sanctioned. Kindly intimate status of our above letters, Name of Official with whom letter is pending for disposal of this case. Name of the Officials with whom letter is still lying pending for disposal. 14. 15. Provision of Fixing Accountability on concerned Nodal officers for not responding to letters of customers. 2. On not receiving information from the PIO and even after filing First Appeal with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 18.08.2021, the Appellant filed Second Appeal in the Commission on 04.10.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act) and as such Notice of hearing was issued to the parties.

Please intimate the date of sanction of the case, total loan amount sanctioned, rate of interest,

3. The case has been heard today. The Respondent appears in person and reiterates

his earlier version as under: -

2.

moratorium period, and payback period.

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਸੂਚਿਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਰਾਜ ਸਹਿਕਾਰੀ

ਖੇਤੀਬਾੜੀ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਬੈਂਕ ਲਿਮ: ਚੰਡੀਗੜ੍ਹ ਜੋ ਕਿ ਸਾਡਾ ਮੁੱਖ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਹੈ ਨੇ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਪੰਜਾਬ ਅਤੇ ਹਰਿਆਣਾ ਹਾਈ ਕੋਰਟ

ਵਿੱਚ ਸਿਵਲ ਰਿਟ ਪਟੀਸ਼ਨ ਨੰਬਰ 841 ਸਾਲ 2010 (CWP) ਪਾਇਆ ਹੋਇਆ ਹੈ ਜਿਸ ਵਿੱਚ ਮਾਨਯੋਗ ਅਦਾਲਤ

ਵੱਲੋਂ ਸਟੇਅ ਮਿਲੀ ਹੋਈ ਹੈ ਅਤੇ ਅਜੇ ਤੱਕ ਫੈਸਲਾ ਵੀ ਪੈਂਡਿੰਗ ਹੈ।

Cont...Pg3



#### APPEAL CASE NO. 4499 OF 2021

4. In view of the above and perusal the case file, the Bench agrees with the contention of the respondent and observes that the information sought by the appellant has rightly been declined in view of the fact that the matter is sub judice in the Hon'ble High Court. However, the Appellant is at liberty to file a fresh RTI application, whenever the decision in CWP No. 841/2010 is rendered by the Hon'ble Court.

5. In view of the above, no further action is required in this case. Hence, the instant

Appeal case is **disposed of and closed.** Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 21.06.2022

**RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,** 

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com; Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Sh. Tanuj Mittal, (98726 00072) Authorized Signatory, Nahar Industrial Infrastructure Corporation Ludhiana, R/o House No. 2, ITI Road, Rajpura – 140401

....Appellant

Versus

## **Public Information Officer,**

O/o District Treasury Officer, D-Block, Mini Secretariat, Patiala.

**First Appellate Authority,** O/o Divisional Commissioner, District Treasury Officer, Patiala.

....Respondents

## APPEAL CASE NO. 5318 OF 2021

**PRESENT:** Advocate Munish Arora on behalf of the Appellant. Shri Ravinder Sharma, Superintendent (98148 43786) along with Shri Pardeep Gupta, Jr. Assistant (78378 09054) for the Respondents.

#### **ORDER**:

The RTI application is dated 03.06.2021 vide which the Appellant has sought

information from the PIO O/o District Treasury Officer, Patiala on the following points in his

RTI application as under: -

That the total amount of Rs. 60,72,60,133/-(Rs. Sixty Crore Seventy Two Lacs Sixty Thousand One Hundred Thirty Three Only) was deposited in Treasury Office, Patiala through various CCD No as per Annexure A. That the following Information is required from the treasury office.

- *a)* The name of the beneficiary to whom the amount is disbursed/ paid along with date of disbursement mentioned in Annexure-A.
- b) Details of undisbursed/ unclaimed amount if any still lying with Treasury Office, Patiala.
- *c) Copy of documents/vouchers through which the above amount is disbursed.*
- d) Copy of Ledger/account statement, if any, maintained the above mentioned amount.





-02-

#### APPEAL CASE NO. 5318 OF 2021

2. On not receiving information from the PIO and even after filing First Appeal with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 13.07.2021, the Appellant filed Second Appeal in the Commission on 17.11.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act) and as such Notice of hearing was issued to the parties.

3. The case has been heard today. The Respondent appears in person and brought along the original record pertaining to the sought-for information for the perusal of the Commission and the Appellant.

4. After inspection of the record by the representative of the appellant the available information has been handed over to him. The Representative of the appellant points out certain deficiencies in the information provided.

5. After deliberations on the RTI application of the appellant, Ms. Sneh Lata, PIO – cum – District Treasury Officer, Patiala is directed to seek instructions from her higher authorities and supply the remaining information as assurance given by her representative, during the hearing. However, the Respondent has filed his written statement in this regard which is taken on record.

6. In view of the above, assurance given by the representative of the PIO, the Bench does not feel for further intervention in the matter. Hence, the instant Appeal Case is **disposed** of and closed. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 21.06.2022